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Why CKD matters?

• Consequences if progress unchecked
  - Loss of renal function
  - Silent
  - RRT
  - Preventable

• Prevalence
  - Adult population
  - Sub-groups
  - Burden

• The chronic disease “package”
  - Diabetes, cardiovascular disease
CKD.CRE

- NHMRC Centre of Research Excellence (2015-19)
  - Leadership: Prof Wendy Hoy, Dr Helen Healy, Prof Ann Bonner, Prof Luke Connelly, et al.
- Building upon the CKD.QLD statewide collaborative (est. 2009)
- Purpose: addressing the challenges of CKD through a multidisciplinary program of research and practice
- Streams of research
  - Biomarker
  - Practice improvement
  - Surveillance
  - Health economics
CKD data linkage

- Five years (2011-16)
- Approx. 28,000 patients
- ~687,000 admissions
- ~3.5 million records, including
  - DRG codes
  - Diagnoses
  - Procedures
  - Details of utilisation
  - Cost outcomes
- Representative sample
- High quality data
- Updates and extensions

CKD.QLD Registry
Approx. 7,000 individuals consented across 13 sites

Queensland Hospital Admitted Patient Data Collection (QHAPDC)

Registrar General Deaths

Additional cohort matched 3:1 by HHS (n=21,000)

Activity-based funding model output (ABF)
Frequent and high cost users of hospital care

- Question often asked by clinicians and leads
- Identify **four groups of users** (low/high cost/frequency) and investigate their patterns of admissions within the first year of joining CKD.QLD Registry (excluding those who died)
- Considerable degree of **expenditure concentration**
- Differences between groups in terms of age, indigenous status, comorbidities, **primary renal diagnosis**
- Heavy users’ admissions: almost 2/3 are **readmissions** (30-day or routine), lower rates of ED presentations
- Dialysis accounts for 75% of admissions in high cost users however it is **not the primary driver of cost** (25% of cost)
- High cost driven by **repeated episodes** with high LOS and WAU (circulatory, digestive, musculoskeletal)
- Heavy users are **increasingly likely over time** to remain in this category
Polycystic and inherited kidney disease

- Hypothesis: progression and health care needs different from other CKD
- Use ICD codes to identify patients with PKD/IKD and investigate outcomes
- A descriptive view of data revealed that:
  - Approx. 2% of patients in CKD data linkage cohorts have either PKD or IKD
  - At the time of consent these patients, compared to other CKD, were younger (54/48/66 yrs) with lower prevalence of diabetes (17/21/48%) and CVD (34/32/46%)
  - Despite this, have a worse kidney function (eGFR 34.1/36.5/40.8 mL/min/1.73m²) and within 5 years are more likely to require dialysis (34/24/9%), have more admissions (median 7/8/4 excl. dialysis) and increased attributable hospital costs (median 42/46/16 A$'000)
- Analytical work in progress
- Implications: PKD/IKD are identifiable groups of CKD; early interventions might be particularly justified given earlier onset and more rapidly progressing disease
Acute kidney injury

- Suddenly occurring damage to the kidneys
- Evidence linking AKI and CKD, ESKD, subsequent AKI and mortality
- Higher than expected incidence of AKI in our cohort
- Results presented in September at the ANZSN ASM by Dr J. Zhang:
  - 35% of the registry cohort admitted with a diagnosis of AKI (17% as primary, 83% other)
  - Repeated episodes of AKI: 50% had one, 25% had two, 25% had 3+ episodes
  - Those with AKI vs no AKI: older (68.1/64.6 years), more likely to be male (57%), more advanced CKD (46% stages 4-5), primary renal diagnosis (diabetic nephropathy 30/22%)
- Analytical work in progress
- Implications: major risk factor that is potentially preventable
Concluding thoughts

- Sustainability, innovation, and wiser spending
- Shift of focus from addressing ESKD to thinking about CKD
- Research into causes, progression factors, identification of heavy users
- The role for large administrative datasets
  - Enabled by: legal setting (Qld Public Health Act), working framework (Qld Data Linkage Framework), data support (Qld Health Statistical Services Branch), funding
  - Area for improvement: access to federal systems
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